

KEVIN COVINGTON, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. ) No. 4:18-CV-1667-AGF  
 )  
 CARLINA STUCKEY-PARCHMON, et al., )  
 )  
 Defendants. )

As for plaintiff's motion for default, plaintiff argues that he should be granted default in this matter because defendant Stuckey-Parchmon failed to file a response to plaintiff's complaint in a timely manner after being served. Defendant Stuckey-Parchmon's responsive pleading was due on March 4, 2019. On March 6 and 11, defendant requested additional time to file her


answer out of time (ECF Nos. 11, 13), explaining that her answer was late due to a clerical error, or excusable neglect under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). The Court granted defendant's request to answer out of time on March 11, 2019. ECF No. 14. Three days later, plaintiff filed a motion for default. ECF No. 16. Because defendant's motion for additional time to answer was granted for good cause before the filing of plaintiff's motion for default, plaintiff's motion for default will be denied as moot.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED**. [ECF No. 9]

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for default is **DENIED as moot**. [ECF No. 16]

Dated this 18th day of March, 2019.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
AUDREY G. FLEISSIG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE